





# **COMPLAINTS POLICY (EXAMS)**

Approved by	David Butterworth	Date	January 2027
Next review due by			January 2026

Centre Name	Weston Secondary School
Centre Number	58659

### Key staff involved in the policy

Head of Centre	David Butterworth
Exams officer	Claire Harlow
SENCo/Deputy SENCo	Hannah Barron
	Nicole Arding
SLT member(s)	Natalie Bungay
	Steve Smith
	Chris Collins
	Luke Wilson

### 1. Contents

CO	MPLAINTS POLICY (EXAMS)	1
	staff involved in the policy	
-	Contents	
2.	Purpose of the Policy	2
	Grounds for complaint	
	Raisina a concern/complaint	

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any complaints at Weston Secondary School are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

### 2. Purpose of the Policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Weston Secondary School and confirms compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

## 3. Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or their/parent/carer) at Weston Secondary School may make a complaint on the grounds below (This is not an exhaustive list).

## **Teaching and Learning**

- Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a lona-term basis
- Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
- Core content not adequately covered
- Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the centre assessed mark
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

### Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate was not informed that an application for access arrangements was to be processed using Access arrangements online, complying with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during examination/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment
- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

#### **Entries**

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

### **Conducting examinations**

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) examination/assessment
- Disruption during the examination/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

### **Results and Post-Results**

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical recheck, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate

- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

# 4. Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, Weston Secondary School encourages an informal resolution in the first instance.

Candidates / parents are required to put any concerns in writing to the either by email to <a href="mailto:info@westonsecondary.co.uk">info@westonsecondary.co.uk</a> or in writing and delivered to the school office.

If a concern fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

## How to make a formal complaint

All documentation relating to the submission of a formal complaint is available within the Hamwic Complaints Policy and Procedure document which can be found on the school website.

Formal complaints should be made in writing and sent to the Head of Centre, Mr David Butterworth. Your complaint will be acknowledged within 5 days and then and outcome will be sent within 15 school days.

# How a formal complaint is investigated

If there is no satisfactory outcome initial concern found, then the complaint should be addressed to the Head of Centre, Mr David Butterworth, who will proceed with a stage 2 complaint. The school will investigate a complaint and may invite students/parents for a meeting to discuss the issues, this will be done within 15 days of receipt of the complaint.

If this has still not resolved the complaint, then the complaint can be raised with the Hamwic Educational Trust (HET) Head of Compliance.

### Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

To submit an appeal, candidates (or parents/carers) must: Request and complete an internal appeals form which should be submitted to the school office. Appeals will be responded to within 30 calendar days.

Signed:	
Date:	